

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PACKET SCHEDULING USING CREDIT BASED ROUND ROBIN

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to an apparatus and method
10 for packet scheduling using a credit based round robin, and
more particularly to an apparatus and method for packet
scheduling using a credit based round robin, in which a weight
in proportion to the rate of packet transmission is previously
set to as available credit, a token having a required size of
15 credit within a range of the available credit is stored in a
token queue when a packet arrives and an earliest stored token
services packets of a designated connection, and to a computer
readable recording medium in which a program for executing the
method is recorded.

20

Description of the Prior Art

In general, a plurality of connections share restricted
resources in a communication network, so temporary congestion
can be caused. In this case, scheduling for providing fairness
25 and low latency to the plurality of connections is carried out
in a variety of manners.

As a conventional scheduling method, a round robin method is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,193. However, this method has low short time fairness and high latency, though having low time complexity. In addition, a fair queuing method is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,134,217. This method is problematic in that time complexity is increased with an increase in the number of connections due to a sorting operation accompanying the use of timestamp, though it has good fairness and good latency.

A scheduler used in high-speed communication networks should restrict the fairness and the latency and be operated at high-speed, so the time complexity should be low. For example, a packet with a length of 100 bytes has to be processed within 0.08 μ sec in a 10 Gbps interface.

A deficit Round Robin (see a paper entitled "Efficient Fair Queuing Using Deficient Round Robin", by M. Shreedhar and George Varghese, SIGCOMM '95, pp. 231-241), and a Weight Round Robin (WRR) can be implemented with the time complexity of $O(1)$, but have low latency.

In the WRR, weight assigned to one connection can be serviced in its turn after weights assigned to other connection are serviced. A packet arriving immediately after weight assigned to one connection is serviced is serviced after weight assigned to another is serviced. If a time interval between one time and the next time serviced by its connection in the round robin is referred to as a round size,

fairness and latency are dependent upon the round size. The round size is the sum of the sizes of packets for all backlogged connections equal to or less than the sum of their weights. Accordingly, the round size is closely related to the weights. Since in the weighted round robin weight W is set to be equal to or larger than the maximum packet size, the round size can be large value as the number of the connections becomes large. The sizes of packets in the Internet are various, ranging from several ten bytes to several Kbytes. Accordingly, if the weight W is set to be more than the maximum packet size, small-sized packets are serviced in a burstiness situation.

In the deficient round robin, a quantity given to one round is referred to as a quantum, which can be set to be less than the maximum packet size. A round pointer designating a packet to be serviced is serviced by the size of one quantum at its turn. Several packets of a size smaller than one quantum are serviced and packets of a size larger than one quantum are serviced with a sum of quantum of a next round until a counter value becomes equal to or larger than the size of the packets. Since the size of quantum represents a quantity to be serviced in one round for each connection, it is available to provide different rates for each connection. In other words, if a high speed connection sets a large quantum and a low rate connection sets a small quantum, a service is offered with a rate in proportion to the quantum.

Since this method services a size corresponding to the quantum. When packets smaller than the size of quantum arrive, several packets are successively serviced in their sequence, resulting in an increase in burstiness. Since the
5 quantum should be assigned in proportion to rate in order to control the bandwidth of the connection in which all packet have identical size like an ATM cell, the ATM cell is successively serviced by a size corresponding to the size of quantum, which increases a burst service.

10 As examples to overcome this problem, the described round robin method is proposed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,193, and a modified round robin method is proposed in a reference document "SRR: An $O(1)$ Time complexity packet scheduler for flows in multi-service packet networks", by Guo Chuanxiong,
15 Proc. SIGCOMM '01, pp. 211-222, Aug. 2001.

In the round robin method of the above patent, two scheduling queues being operated in a FIFO (First-In, First-Out) manner are provided and scheduling information on each HOL (Head Of Line) of the connection is stored one by one in
20 the scheduling queues. Subsequently, when a packet arrives, it is confirmed whether it is a HOL packet. If so, the scheduling information is stored in the scheduling queues. A weight is assigned to each of the scheduling queues. The scheduling information on a packet of a value less than that
25 of a packet counter with weight taken into account is stored in the queue being currently serviced, while the scheduling

information on the packet having a value more than that of the packet counter is stored in the other queue. The scheduling queue being currently serviced continues to be serviced until it is completely empty. When backlogged scheduling information is not present in the queue, the other queue is serviced. At that time, a new round begins. In other words, when the scheduling queue being currently serviced has been completely serviced, this queue is switched to the other scheduling queue to begin a new round and a counter value of the connection serviced from the other queue is increased by weight. The two scheduling queues are serviced in a FIFO manner, and the size of the packet is compared with the counter value. If the size of the packet is less than the counter value, the scheduling queues are serviced and the counter value is decreased by a size serviced; whereas if the size of the packet is larger than the counter value, the counter value is increased by the weight and the scheduling information is stored in the scheduling queue not serviced.

However, although the described method can improve a burst service such as the round robin, there is a problem that the effect of improvement is reduced if the sizes of packets are different for connections, and repeated servicing should be performed from the scheduling queue being currently serviced to the next scheduling queue if the size of the packet is larger than the weight. The modified round robin determines the order of the connections to be serviced in

advance for packets of a fixed length and services cell by cell for connection having cells backlogged in the preset order. By doing so, the fairness and the latency are good if the number of the connections (or links) is low. However, 5 this modified round robin method has a problem that the time complexity in the process of outputting packets from queues is increased since the sequence to be serviced is increased in number with increased number of connection, and the fairness and the latency are deteriorated if the size of the packet is 10 various.

In addition, as time stamp based scheduling methods, disclosed are self-clocked fair queuing in a reference document "A self-clocked fair queuing scheme for high-speed application", by S. J. Golestani, Proc. INFOCOM '94, pp. 636- 15 646, April 1994, Virtual Clock, and potential fair queuing scheme in a reference document "Efficient fair queuing algorithms for packet-switched networks", by D. Stidialis and A. Varma, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 175-185, April 1998. However, this scheduling method 20 based on time stamp has the complexity of at least $O(\log(N))$ in order to arrange a sequence according to time stamp though it has a good delay and a good fairness. Therefore, these methods also have a problem that since time complexity is increased with increased number of connections N , it is 25 difficult to be applicable to a high-speed communication network having a large number of connections.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention has been made keeping
5 in mind the above problems occurring in the prior art, and an
object of the present invention is to provide an apparatus and
method for packet scheduling using a credit based round robin
in order to enhance fairness and latency by controlling
service rate and servicing packets arrived according to the
10 state of an available credit by using the available credit for
each connection in networks in which a plurality of
connections, each connection having different service rates,
and a variety of a size of the packet are present, and a
computer readable recording medium in which a program is
15 recorded for executing the method.

In order to accomplish the above object, the present
invention provides an apparatus for packet scheduling using a
credit based round robin in a high-speed communication network
in which packets are transmitted to and received from a
20 plurality of connections having respective service rates,
comprising: a packet pool for storing input packets; a token
queue for storing tokens each having a connection identifier
(ID) of an input packet stored in the packet pool, the round
number (RN) of the connection, and a credit value (CV) for
25 service; and a connection management unit for transmitting the
input packets to the packet pool, reading the packets stored

in the packet pool, generating the tokens each having a connection identifier (ID) of an input packet stored in the packet pool, the round number (RN) of the connection, and a credit value (CV) for service and transmitting them to the token queue, and servicing the packets of the packet pool designated by the token stored in the token queue

In addition, the present invention provides a method for packet scheduling using a credit based round robin in a high-speed communication network for receiving a plurality of packets arrived at a network switch from a plurality of the connections having a respective service rate and transmitting the packets to a communication link, comprising: a first step of setting weight (W) proportional to the service rate for each of the connections and setting the weight as available credit (AC); a second step of receiving and storing at least one input packet in a packet pool; a third step of generating and storing in a token queue a token having a connection identifier (ID) of the input packets of the connections, round number (RN) of the connection and a credit value (CV) for service, according to the result of the comparison of the size (SP) of the received input packets with the size of the available credit (AC) if the residual size (RSP) of the HOL packet of the connection received is 0; and a fourth step of servicing the stored packet designated by the token stored in the token queue.

Furthermore, the present invention provides a computer readable recording medium in which a program is recorded for executing a method for packet scheduling using a credit based round robin in a high-speed communication network in which
5 packets are transmitted to and received from a plurality of connections having respective service rate, comprising: a first step of setting weight (W) proportional to the service rates for each of the connections and setting the weight as available credit (AC); a second step of receiving and storing
10 at least one input packet in a packet pool; a third step of generating and storing in a token queue a token having a connection identifier (ID) of an input packet of a connection, the round number (RN) of the connection and a credit value (CV) for service, according to the result of the comparison of
15 the size (SP) of the received input packets with the size of the available credit (AC); and a fourth step of servicing the stored packet designated by the token stored in the token queue.

According to the present invention, when the packets
20 arrive, their scheduling information is stored in the token queue in a sequential manner based on the available credit and an arrival sequence and outputted according to the stored sequence. Also, when the packets arrive, they are stored in the packet pool, and a credit of a required size carried on
25 the token is stored in the token queue if the available credit is present and a process is terminated if the available credit

is not present. The output of packets services the HOL packet of a corresponding connection for the HOL token of the packet queue by referring to the connection identifier (ID) and the size of credit stored in the token. The HOL packet of the

5 queue for each connection stored in the packet pool is serviced if the size of the HOL packet is equal to or less than that of the credit of the HOL token, and otherwise, the credit is added to a confirmed credit and a credit of the size of the available credit is stored again in the token queue.

10 When the HOL packet is serviced and then the backlogged packets of the corresponding connection are present in the packet pool, a reassigned available credit of a size required is stored in the token queue. Consequently, the fairness and the latency are enhanced by controlling service rate and

15 servicing packets arrived according to the state of an available credit by using the available credit for each connection.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20

The above and other objects, features and other advantages of the present invention will be more clearly understood from the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 is a view showing an example of a general ATM switch or router to which a packet scheduling method of the present invention is applied;

Figs. 2a and 2b show processes of updating round robins in packet queues, wherein Fig. 2a represents a conventional process of updating a round robin in a packet queue, and Fig. 2a represents a process of updating a round robin according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 3 is a view showing a configuration of a packet scheduling apparatus according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 4 is a flowchart for explaining a packet arrival process according to the present invention;

Fig. 5 is a flowchart for explaining a packet output process according to the present invention;

Figs. 6a and 6b are views showing the comparison of the packet services, wherein Fig. 6a shows a conventional packet service according to a weighted round robin method, Fig. 6b shows a packet service of the scheduling apparatus according to the present invention; and

Fig. 7 is a view showing an embodiment of a rate control based on a weight in the scheduling apparatus according to the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Hereinafter, a preferred embodiment of the present invention is described in detail with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Fig. 1 shows an example of a general ATM switch or router to which a packet scheduling method of the present invention is applied. As shown in Fig. 1, an ATM switch or router 3 comprises n input links, n output links, a plurality of buffers 1 and a plurality of schedulers 2. Packets or cells inputted into the input links are transmitted to the output links via the ATM switch or router 3. In this case, since some of the packets or cells can be outputted through the same output link simultaneously, the buffers 1 and the schedulers 2 are required. That is, the buffers 1 are used to temporarily store the inputted packets to prevent the contention of the packets or cells in a single output link, and the schedulers 2 are used to service the packets queued in the buffers 1 according to a predetermined sequence or schedule with the required Quality of Service (QoS) taken into account. Typically, a number of connections (flows or sessions) share a single link, and bandwidth required by connections and the sizes of packets to be transmitted are various. Therefore, it is required to provide a scheduling apparatus and method for providing a bandwidth required by each connection and reducing latency.

Figs. 2a and 2b show processes of updating round robins in packet queues, wherein Fig. 2a represents a conventional

process of updating a round robin in a packet queue, and Fig. 2a represents a process of updating a round robin according to an embodiment of the present invention. The packet queue 20 temporarily stores the input packets and manages them per connection until the input packets are outputted. Referring to the embodiment shown in Fig. 2b, the weight values of connections 1 and 2 are 800 each, and the packets 21 are in a backlogged state in the packet queue 20 for each connection. Each packet is represented as P_i^j , where i refers to a connection and j refers to a j -th packet of a connection i . For example, P_1^1 represents a first packet of a connection 1 and P_2^1 represents a first packet of a connection 2. Numerals in the packets mean the size of the packet whose unit is preferably set by byte.

As shown in Fig. 2a, in the conventional round robin updating process, all backlogged connections are each serviced with packets corresponding to the weight of each corresponding connection in a current round, and then the current round is updated to the next round. For the case shown in this drawing, the weight is 800, so packets of 800 bytes can be serviced for every round. In other words, packets, P_1^1 , P_1^2 , P_1^3 , P_1^4 , and P_2^1 are serviced for a round 1 and the residual packets are serviced for a round 2. In this case, a round robin pointer sets a packet to be serviced. For example, as shown in Fig. 2a, if packets P_1^1 and P_2^1 arrive simultaneously,

the packet P_1^1 nearer in the progress direction of the round robin pointer is first serviced.

On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 2b, in the round robin updating process of the present invention, if one packet is serviced within the range of weight, a round window is moved by that weight. Packets P_1^1 and P_2^1 are serviced, and a current round window is moved packet by packet. In this case, supposing the input and output rates of the connection 1 are equal to those of the connection 2, the packets arrive in the order of $P_1^1 = P_2^1 > P_1^2 > P_1^3 = P_2^2 > P_1^4 > P_1^5 > P_1^6 > P_1^7 = P_2^3 > P_1^8$, where the equal symbol "=" represents simultaneous arrival.

As can be seen from the above, in the conventional weighted round robin method of Fig. 2a, the packets are serviced in the order of $P_1^1 > P_1^2 > P_1^3 > P_1^4 > P_2^1 > P_1^5 > P_1^6 > P_1^7 > P_1^8 > P_2^2 > P_2^3$. In particular, in U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,193 mentioned above, the packets are serviced in the order of $P_1^1 > P_2^1 > P_1^2 > P_1^3 > P_1^4 > P_2^2 > P_1^5 > P_1^6 > P_2^3 > P_1^7 > P_1^8$. However, in the round robin method of the present invention of Fig. 2b, the packets can be serviced in the order of $P_1^1 > P_2^1 > P_1^2 > P_1^3 > P_2^2 > P_1^4 > P_1^5 > P_1^6 > P_1^7 > P_2^3 > P_1^8$.

Fig. 3 is a view showing a configuration of a packet scheduling apparatus according to an embodiment of the present invention. As shown in Fig. 3, the apparatus of the present invention comprises a packet pool 33, a connection manager 34, and a token queue 35. An input packet 31 is stored in the packet pool 33 under the control of the connection manager 34,

and a round number RN of a corresponding connection, a connection identifier ID and a credit value (CV) are carried on a token and stored in the token queue 35. The token queue 35 stores the token 36, and sets the order of servicing the
5 packets stored in the packet pool 33 in a first-in, first-out (FIFO) manner, under the control of the connection manager 34.

The packet pool 33 is a place for storing packets. The packet pool 33 is preferably composed of a buffer, and is provided with queues for respective connections using
10 techniques such as a linked list. The token queue 35 is serviced in a FIFO manner.

In addition, the connection manager 34 is provided with a connection management table 37 for respective connections. The connection manager 34 manages the processes of inputting
15 the input packet 31, outputting the output packet 32, storing the token 36 in the token queue 35 and outputting the stored token 36. Additionally, the connection manager 34 manages the connection management table 37, which lists parameters required to schedule service for respective connections.
20 Here, the description of the parameters is omitted.

One connection has a unique connection identifier ID or connection number, and the connection manager 34 manages a weight W, an available credit AC, the size of a HOL packet SP, a confirmed credit CC, the backlog size of a connection BS,
25 the residual size of the HOL packet RSP, etc., for each connection.

Referring to a connection management table 37 according to an embodiment as shown in Fig. 3, information managed for respective connection IDs is stored. The weight credit WC is set to be proportional to a service rate for each connection, regardless of the size of a packet. The available credit AC represents a size usable within a weight W, and is equal to or less than the weight W (i.e., $AC \leq W$). For example, when the weight credit WC is 400 for a connection i, a usable size, i.e., the size of the available credit AC, is 400 in an initialized state. Then, when the size of an input packet credit is 200, the size of the packet 200 is subtracted from the weight credit 400, resulting in the available credit AC 200. Therefore, the available credit reduced in size by 200 from the original available credit is set.

In addition, the size of the packet SP represents the size of the HOL packet of the connection i queuing in the packet pool 33. If the available credit AC is less than the size of the packet SP, the packet cannot be serviced using only one available credit. In this case, several available credits AC can be added to service the packet. At that time, the available credit AC is added to confirmed credit CC. The confirmed credit CC refers to credit that is unused part of the available credits AC received from the HOL token 36 of the token queue 35. If the confirmed credit CC is equal to or larger than the size of the packet SP when the confirmed credit CC is compared with the size of the HOL packet SP, the

HOL packet is serviced. On the other hand, if the confirmed credit CC is larger than the size of the next HOL packet SP, the next HOL packet is serviced. Namely, if $CC \geq SP$, the HOL packet is serviced. On the other hand, if $CC < SP$, the

5 confirmed credit CC is stored and then the available credit AC of the next token 36 is waited for. The backlog size of the packet BS of the connection i represents the total size of packets of the connection i queuing in the packet pool 33.

When a packet larger than the available credit AC is inputted,

10 a difference between them is stored as the backlog size of the packet BS. Thereafter, when a packet smaller than the available credit is inputted, the backlogged packet stored as the backlog size of the packet BS is serviced.

Fig. 4 is a flowchart explaining a packet arrival process

15 according to the present invention. When the j-th packet P_i^j of the connection i arrives at the scheduler 2 (S401), the connection manager 34 stores P_i^j in the packet pool 33 and sets the size of the packet SP_i^j to the size of P_i^j and the backlog size of the packet BS_i to $BS_i + SP_i^j$ (S402). Then, the

20 connection manager 34 determines whether an available credit AC_i of the connection i is 0 or whether the residual size RSP_i of the packet of a HOL packet is not 0 (S403) in the connection table 37. As a result of the determination at step

S403, if the available credit AC_i of the connection i is 0 or
25 the residual size RSP_i of the packet of the HOL packet is not 0, this process is terminated. On the contrary, if the

available credit AC_i is larger than 0 and the residual size RSP_i of the packet of the HOL packet is 0, the process proceeds to next step S404, where it is determined whether AC_i is equal to or larger than SP_i^j . As a result of the determination at step S404, if AC_i is equal to or larger than SP_i^j , the credit value CV of the connection i is set to the size of the packet SP_i^j , the available credit AC_i is set to $AC_i - SP_i^j$, the RSP_i and the consecutive round number RN are set to 0, the connection identifier ID is set to i, and a token $T < RN$, CV, ID> corresponding to the set RN, CV, ID is stored in the token queue 35 (S405). Then the process is terminated. However, as a result of the determination at step S404, if AC_i is smaller than SP_i^j , the process proceeds the next step S406, where it is determined whether $SP_i^j - AC_i$ is equal to or smaller than W_i . As a result of the determination at step S406, if $SP_i^j - AC_i$ is equal to or less than W_i , the credit value CV of the connection i is set to be equal to AC_i , the residual size of the packet RSP_i of the HOL packet of the connection i is set to be equal to $SP_i^j - AC_i$, RN is set to 1, ID is set to i, and a token $T < RN$, CV, ID> corresponding to the set RN, CV, ID is stored in the token queue 35 (S407), AC_i is set to 0 (S408), and then the process is terminated.

However, as the result of the determination at the step S406, if $SP_i^j - AC_i$ is larger than W_i , the credit value CV to be serviced by connection i is set to be equal to AC_i , round number RN is set to be equal to $\lceil (SP_i - AC_i - 1) / W_i \rceil$, wherein $\lceil x \rceil$

is the smallest integer value among numbers greater than x . And RSP_i of the connection i is set to be equal to $SP_i - AC_i - (RN - 1)W_i$, ID is set to i , the token $T\langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ is stored in the token queue 35 (S409), AC_i is set to 0 (S410) and then the
5 process is terminated.

Here, if the round number RN requires a large amount of available credit AC because the size of the packet SP is larger than the weight W , the token 36 repeats queuing several times in the token queue 35. The reason for this is to
10 quickly determine whether to store the connection manager 34 in the token queue 35 by checking only the round number of the HOL token without referring to the connection management table 37 and store the round number in the token queue 35. In other words, if RN is more than 2, the connection manager 34
15 decreases RN by 1 and then stores the token in the token queue 35 without referring to values in the connection management table 37. If RN is 1, RN is reset to 0 and CV is produced with reference to RSP_i in the connection management table 37. If RN is 0, the packets are serviced according to the
20 connection management table 37.

Fig. 5 is a flowchart explaining a packet output process according to the present invention. After the HOL packet of the token stored in the token queue 35 is outputted, a packet to be outputted next is searched for (S501). Then, it is
25 confirmed whether the token 36 queuing in the token queue 35 is present (S503). As the result of the determination at the

step S502, if a queuing token is not present, the process is
 terminated. On the contrary, if a queuing token is present,
 the HOL token 36 of the token queue 35 is serviced. If the
 HOL token is referred to as a token $T_{HOL} \langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ and the
 5 round number of the HOL token is referred to as $T_{HOL}.RN$, it is
 determined whether $T_{HOL}.RN$ is larger than 1 (S503). As the
 result of the determination at the step S503, if $T_{HOL}.RN$ is
 larger than 1, the round number of the token 36 stored in the
 token queue 35 is decreased by 1 to be $RN - 1$ and then the
 10 token $T \langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ is stored in the end of the token queue 35
 (S504). Then, the process returns to the step S501 to repeat
 the above procedure. However, as the result of the
 determination at the step S503, if $T_{HOL}.RN$ is equal to or less
 than 1, it is determined whether $T_{HOL}.RN$ is 0 (S505). As the
 15 result of the determination at the step S505, if $T_{HOL}.RN$ is not
 0, CV is set to $\min(AC_i, BS)$, CC_i is set to $SP_i - RSP_i$, RN is
 set to 0, and the token $T \langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ corresponding to the set
 RN , CV , and ID is stored in the token queue 35 (S506).
 Thereafter, RSP_i is reset to 0 (S507) and then the process is
 20 terminated. However, as the result of the determination at
 the step S505, if $T_{HOL}.RN$ is 0, the connection identifier ID of
 the token T_{HOL} is set to 1, CV is set to CV of T_{HOL} , the
 confirmed credit CC_i of the connection i is set to $CC_i + CV$,
 and the available credit AC_i of the connection i is set to AC_i
 25 $+ CV$ (S508).

Thereafter, it is determined whether CC_i of the connection i is equal to or larger than SP_i (S509). As the result of the determination at the step S509, if CC_i of the connection i is equal to or larger than SP_i , the HOL packet of the connection i is serviced, and BS_i is set to $BS_i - SP_i$ and CC_i is set to $CC_i - SP_i$ (S510). Thereafter, it is determined whether the packets of the connection i are present in the packet pool 33 (S512). If the queuing packets of the connection i are not present in the packet pool 33, CC_i and RSP_i are set to 0, AC_i is set to W_i (S515), and then the process is terminated. On the contrary, if the queuing packets of the connection i are present in the packet pool 33, SP_i is set to the size of the HOL packet of the connection i (S514), and then the process returns to the step S509 (S514) to repeat the above procedure.

However, as the result of the determination at the step S509, if SP_i is larger than CC_i , the process proceeds to the next step S511, where it is determined whether RSP_i is 0. As the result of the determination at the step S511, if RSP_i is not 0, the process is terminated. On the contrary, as the result of the determination at the step S511, if RSP_i is 0, the process proceeds to the next step S513, where it is determined whether AC_i is equal to or larger than $SP_i - CC_i$. As the result of the determination at the step S513, if AC_i is equal to or larger than $SP_i - CC_i$, a credit value CV for service is set to $\min(W_i, BS_i)$, RSP_i and RN are set to 0, ID is

set to i , the token $T\langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ corresponding to the set RN , CV , and ID is stored in the token queue 35 (S516), and the available credit AC_i of the connection i is reset to $AC_i - CV$ (S518). Thereafter, the process is terminated. On the contrary, if it is determined at the step S513 that AC_i is smaller than $SP_i - CC_i$, a credit value CV for service is set to AC_i , RN is set to $\lceil (SP_i - CC_i - 1) / W_i \rceil$, RSP_i is set to $SP_i - CC_i - AC_i - (RN - 1)W_i$, ID is set to i , the token $T\langle RN, CV, ID \rangle$ corresponding to the set RN , CV , and ID is stored in the token queue 35 (S517), and AC_i is reset to 0 (S519). Thereafter, the process is terminated. The purpose of the process described above is to prevent the occurrence of a token of credit smaller than the size of the HOL packet and W_i .

Figs. 6a and 6b are views showing the comparison of the packet services, wherein Fig. 6a shows a conventional packet service according to a weighted round robin method, Fig. 6b shows a packet service of the scheduling apparatus according to the present invention.

In Figs. 6a and 6b, it is assumed that four inputs are outputted to a single link and the bandwidth of each input stream is equal to that of an output stream. In addition, it is assumed that four connections arrive simultaneously and the packets have completely arrived when a single complete packet dependent on the size of the packet arrives, i.e., when a last byte arrives. As shown in Figs. 6a and 6b, it is assumed that the weight credits W of the connections 1, 2, 3, and 4 are set

as follows: $W1 = 400$, $W2 = 800$, $W3 = 500$, and $W4 = 500$. The packets arrive at the scheduler in the order of $P_1^1 = P_4^1 > P_3^1 > P_1^2 > P_4^2 > P_3^2 > P_1^3 > P_2^1$.

As shown in Fig. 6a, in the conventional WRR method, the packets are serviced in the order of $P_1^1 > P_1^2 > P_3^1 > P_4^1 > P_4^2 > P_1^3 > P_2^1 > P_3^2$. However, as shown in Fig. 6b, for the round robin method of the present invention, the packets are serviced in the order of $P_1^1 > P_4^1 > P_3^1 > P_1^2 > P_4^2 > P_3^2 > P_1^3 > P_2^1$. However, in Fig. 6b, P_1^1 and P_4^1 arrive simultaneously and a connection nearer a progress direction of round robin pointer starts to be serviced. When the packets arrive, the token 36 is stored in the token queue 35 after the credit value CV is calculated using the available credit AC_i and the size of the packet SP_i , as described above with reference to Fig. 4. A HOL token of the stored tokens 36 start to be serviced. As described above, in Fig. 6b, packets are serviced in the same order as the packets arrive. If the packets arrive simultaneously, the packets of the nearer connection in the moving direction of the round robin pointer start to be serviced. Under the same conditions as the present invention, Fig. 6a represents that P_1^2 is first serviced although it arrives later than P_4^1 and P_3^1 . Therefore, in Fig. 6a of the prior art, P_4^1 is serviced with a delay corresponding to the transmission time of P_1^2 and P_3^1 , so the latency is increased.

Fig. 7 is a view showing rate control based on weight credit in the scheduling apparatus of the present invention. As shown in Fig. 7, connections 1 and 2 represent that packets of the same size have arrived, while connections 3 and 4 represent that the packets have not arrived. The weight $W1$ of the connection 1 is 200 and the weight $W2$ of the connection 2 is 100. In this case, supposing that the bandwidth of all link are same, the arrival order of the packets is $P_1^1 = P_2^1 > P_1^2 = P_2^2 > P_1^3 > P_1^4$. However, since the weights $W1$ and $W2$ are different from each other, the output order of the packets is $P_1^1 > P_1^2 > P_2^1 > P_1^3 > P_1^4 > P_2^2$. Since the weight $W1$ of the connection 1 is 200, CV is 200 at maximum. Accordingly, when a packet having the size of 200 such as P_1^1 arrives, the token 36 of $T<0, 200, 1>$ is produced and stored in the token queue 35.

Also, since the weight $W2$ of the connection 2 is 100, CV is 100 at maximum. Accordingly, when a packet having the size of 200 such as P_2^1 arrives, the token $T<1, 100, 2>$ of the connection 2 is stored in the end of the token queue 35 and the token $T<0, 100, 2>$ is stored and serviced in the next round. Here, since connection 2 should receive two tokens and service one packet, it is serviced at a half-one rate compared with connection 1. This is because the maximum credit value CV acceptable to the token 36 is equal to or less than the weight W_i . In the token queue 36, the token 36 as shown in Fig. 7 is produced, stored and serviced.

As described above, if an available credit is present when a packet arrives, then the size of the packet and credit as much as the available credit are carried on the token and transmitted to the token queue. If a connection backlogged at any time t is referred to as $B(t)$, the sum T_CV of credits of the token stored in the token queue is expressed as follows:

[Equation 1]

$$T-CV \leq \sum_{i \in B(t)} \text{Min}(W_i, BS_i)$$

10

When a packet P_i^j of a new connection arrives, the credit value $CV = \text{Min}[W_i, SP_i^j]$ of the packet is carried on the token T_i and stored in the token queue. The token T_i can be serviced after the T_CV is serviced. However, the packet is not outputted if the confirmed credit CC_i of a corresponding connection is smaller than the size of HOL packet SP_i of the corresponding connection even though the token is serviced from T_CV . Therefore, if a set of the connection to be serviced in the next round after the token of the new connection is stored in the token queue is referred to as SC_n and round size is referred to as F_n , SC_n and F_n are respectively expressed as follows:

20

[Equation 2]

25

$$SC_n \leq \{i | (CC_i + W_i) \geq SP_i, CC_i < SP_i, \forall i \in B(t)\}$$

$$F_n \leq \sum_{j \in SC_n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m SP_j^k, m = \left[\max_l \left| \sum_{k=1}^l SP_j^k < (CC_j + W_j) \right| \right] \right\}$$

In the above Equation, SC_n represents that the packet is serviced in not $(n-1)$ -th round but n -th round. Namely, SC_n represents that $CC_i < SP_i = < CC_i + W_i$. In addition, m represents the number of packets that associated with the connection to be serviced in current round. When W_i is converged on 0, SC_n and F_n are also converged on 0. When W_i approaches 0, temporal distributions SC_1, SC_2, \dots, SC_n of the set of the connection SC to be serviced are converged in a virtual finishing time order in a weight fair queuing (WFQ). Since SC_n is equal to or less than a set of the connection with a virtual finishing time of the HOL packet of any connection i being between CC_i and $CC_i + W_i$, SC_n approaches fairness and latency characteristics of WFQ when W_i approaches 0. A round size F_n is equal to or less than the sum of the sizes of packets of the connection with the virtual finishing time being between CC_i and $CC_i + W_i$ in n -th round. Although the fairness and the latency are enhanced when W is set to a small value, as RN is increased and so the number of token is increased, it is required to consider and select the size of packet. According to this method, since weight W can be set proportional to a service rate for each connection unlike the prior round robin, the fairness and the latency can be enhanced for various sizes and rates of packets for a plurality of connections. In addition, since the time

complexity is $O(1)$, the method according to the present invention provides an easy expansion based on connection number, compared with the time stamp method.

As described above, the present invention provides a
5 high-speed scheduler with time complexity of $O(1)$ that is capable of enhancing the fairness and the latency for various sizes of packets and a plurality of connections over the prior round robin method. In addition, due to time complexity of $O(1)$, the present invention is easily applicable to ATM
10 switch, routers, communication terminals, etc., used in high-speed communication networks.

Although the preferred embodiment of the present invention has been disclosed for illustrative purposes, those skilled in the art will appreciate that various modifications,
15 additions and substitutions are possible, without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention as disclosed in the accompanying claims.